

PHYSIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS RELATED TO CARBON SEQUESTRATION OF TREE SPECIES IN HIGHLAND FOREST ECOSYSTEM OF MOUNT HALIMUN-SALAK NATIONAL PARK

Nuril Hidayati^{1,2}, M. Mansur and Titi Juhaeti

Received : 8 March 2010, Accepted : 15 February 2012

ABSTRACT

Biological diversity can have significant contribution to reduce the build-up of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The trees in a forest stand form an essential part in the functioning of the terrestrial biosphere, especially in the carbon cycle. Yet tree photosynthesis is far less studied than crop photosynthesis for several reasons: the large number of species; difficulty in measuring photosynthesis of entire trees or of forest stands. This research aims to assess the contribution of biological diversity in carbon sequestration by analyzing the physiological characteristics (photosynthesis, transpiration, stomatal conductance, leaf chlorophyll content) of species native to tropical highland forest ecosystem of Mount Halimun-Salak National Park. The results showed that there was a wide range of variation of CO₂ assimilation rate between tree species. The overall CO₂ absorption rate ranged 1.1913 - 31.3875 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$, the highest rate was reached by *Lithocarpus* sp. (pasang parengpeng) (31.3875 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), followed by *Litsea noronhae* (huru lumlum) (21.5750 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), *Saurauia nudiflora* (kilebo) (11.8175 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$), *Vernonia arborea* (hamirung) (6.7125 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) and *Litsea* sp. (huru bodas) (6.2725 $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$). The rate of CO₂ assimilation was affected by incident radiation and thus the photon flux (Q leaf). Correlation between CO₂ assimilation and Q leaf under certain environmental condition was considerably high. Incident radiation and Q leaf also affected stomatal conductance and thus rate of transpiration.

Keywords: Biological diversity, photosynthesis, carbon sequestration, greenhouse gases

I. INTRODUCTION

Forests represent 21% of the continental area which are 76% of terrestrial biomass and 37% of its bioproductivity (Ceulmans and Sauger, 1991). A biologically diverse tropical forest holds 50 times more carbon per unit of area than a monoculture plantation. Thus, the trees in a forest stand form an essential part in the functioning of the terrestrial biosphere, especially in the carbon cycle. Yet tree photosynthesis is far less studied than crop photosynthesis for several reasons: the large number of species; difficulty in measuring photosynthesis of entire trees or of forest stands.

Biological diversity can make a significant contribution to reducing the build-up of

greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Each year about 60 gigatonnes (GT) of carbon (C) are taken up and released by land-based ecosystems and about 90 GT are taken up and released by ocean systems. These natural fluxes are large compared to the approximately 6.3 GT being emitted from fossil fuels and industrial processes, and about 1.6 GT per year from deforestation (CBD, 2008).

A reliable method for restoration of forest and reforestation is using native tree species. The trees which are suitable for CDM (Clean Development Mechanism) purpose should have the three following characteristics; (1) seedling that can adapt easily to open sites, after transplanting from shade (nurseries) to the sunlit conditions; (2) fast-growing species that is able to compete with weeds and fern (Ashton, 1998); (3) tree species that have high CO₂ assimilation

¹Biology Research Center - Indonesia Institute of Science

²Corresponding author: criantcht@yahoo.co.id

capacity and long live. However, these physiological characteristics differ widely among tree species. In order to attain successful reforestation, it is necessary to carefully select appropriate trees for transplanting based on these characteristics. For evaluation of the appropriate trees, ecological (dominance, biomass, carbon content) and physiological (photosynthesis, transpiration) characteristics are suitable indicators (Takahashi *et al.*, 2005, 2006; Ashton, 1998).

Variance in CO₂ assimilation rate is large among trees grown under sunlit conditions not only across the continental transect as well as across tropical climate regions (Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003). Fast-growing trees often have relatively higher CO₂ assimilation rate in tropical climate zone suggesting that CO₂ assimilation rate can be an indicator for evaluating fast-growing characteristics (Press *et al.*, 1996, Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003).

In this study ecological and physiological characteristics of tree species native to humid

highland forest ecosystem examined. This research aimed to provide informations on tree characteristics related to high carbon sequestration by analyzing their physiological characteristics (CO₂ absorption, CO₂ assimilation, stomatal conductance, chlorophyll content), and ecological characteristics (dominance, biomass production and carbon sequestration).

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A. Study Site

This study was conducted in two locations representing humid highland forest in Mount Halimun-Salak National Park : 1) Cikaniki and 2) Citalahab. Two plots were established in two different sites. The first plot of 100 m x 100 m was located in Cikaniki station of 06°44'57" S and 106°32'08" E, 1,100 m above sea level and the second one of 50 m x 50 m was located in Citalahab of 06° 44' 32.2" S and 116° 31' 44.0" E, 1,076 m above sea level (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Cikaniki and Citalahab study sites in Mount Halimun-Salak National Park

B. Ecological Analysis

Analysis of vegetation was conducted by making plots of representative sizes. Each plot was divided into smaller plots 10 m x 10 m. From these smaller plots assessment and identification were made for name of the tree species, number of species, stem diameter (>10 cm), height, coordinate of the trees (x, y). For the unknown species, samples were collected for identification.

The collected data was analyzed using Cox (1967) and Greigh-Smith (1964) method for obtaining the values of basal area, relative frequency, relative density, relative dominance and value of importance by the following calculation:

$$BA = (0.5 \times D) 2 \times 3.14$$

Where BA ($m^2 h^{-1}$) is basal area; D is diameter and value of 3.14 is a constant.

Plant biomass and carbon content were estimated by using the following calculation :

$$W = a D^b$$

W = biomass; a and b = W = biomass; a and b = constant for estimating biomass of tree community (a = 0.19 and b = 2.37); D = stem diameter (Brown, 1997).

$$C = 0.5 W$$

C = carbon content; W = biomass.

C. Physiological Analysis

The measurement of physiological and photosynthetic characteristics were carried out in April and June 2010. The height of tree sampled was 50 cm – 150 cm. Simultaneous measurements of CO₂ assimilation, stomatal conductance and transpiration were conducted by using portable *LCi ADC Bioscientific Ltd. Photosynthesis System*. The

measurement of carbon dioxide (CO₂) uptake is a direct method of measuring carbon exchange, with important advantages: it is instantaneous and non destructive and it allows measurement of the total carbon gain by a plant separation of photosynthetic gain from respiratory loss. This measurement of CO₂ exchange involved enclosure methods which is enclosure of a leaf in a transparent chamber.

The rate of CO₂ assimilation by the leaf enclosed is determined by measuring the change in the CO₂ concentration of the air flowing across the chamber. In this close system air is pumped from the chamber enclosing a leaf into an IRGA (Infra Red Gas Analyzer) which continuously records the CO₂ concentration of the system. The air is then recycled back to the chamber. No air leaves or enters the system. If the leaf enclosed in the chamber as photo-synthesizing, the CO₂ concentration in the system will decline, and continue to decline until the CO₂ compensation point of photosynthesis is reached. The rate of CO₂ assimilation is equal to the change in the amount of CO₂ in the system per unit time.

Rate of CO₂-assimilation was measured under certain range of CO₂ concentrations, photon flux, and leaf temperature (Table 1). For measurement of physiological characteristic, a fully expanded (young) and older leaves were chosen per sampling. Three different plants individuals of each species were measured. Simultaneous measurements of microclimate, photosynthesis, chlorophyll content and transpiration rate were conducted. The measuring time for each species was between 09.00 - 12.00 am under completely clear sky.

The measurement of microclimate was conducted for each plant species. Air temperature

Table 1. Range of CO₂ concentration in stomata, CO₂ reference, foton flux, vapour pressure and temperature (leaf and chamber) during the measurement.

| Parameters | Halimun National Park | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| | Cikaniki | Citalahab |
| CO ₂ reference (cref: vpm) | 370 – 750 | 371 - 433 |
| Analytical CO ₂ (can: vpm) | 360 – 703 | 365 - 438 |
| CO ₂ in stomata (ci: vpm) | 260 – 500 | 345 - 412 |
| Foton flux (Qleaf: $\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$) | 8 – 250 | 10.25 - 957 |
| Chamber temperature (Tch: °C) | 24 – 29.5 | 21.6 – 30.8 |
| Leaf temperature (Tie: °C) | 29 – 30 | 20 - 29 |
| Vapour pressure (P: mbar) | 900 | 900 |

and relative humidity were measured using *Digital Thermohygrometer* AS ONE TH-321, soil pH and moisture content were measured using *Soil Tester*, and light intensity was measured by using *Lux meter* Luxor. Leaf chlorophyll content was measured using spectrophotometer and *chlorophyll meter* SPAD-502; Minolta Co.Ltd., Osaka, Japan.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Results

1. Cikaniki Site

Tree distribution in the higher site was dominated by *Altingia excelsa* (rasamala) which contributed to 32% of the total basal area (BA), followed by *Schima wallichii* (puspa) (10%) and *Quercus lineata* (6%).

Microclimate and soil conditions during the measurements were presented in Appendix Table 1. Soil pH ranged from 5.58 - 6.60, soil moisture ranged from 55.5 - 90.3%, relative humidity was 62.5 - 29.4%, air temperature ranged from 23.5 - 29.4 °C and light intensity was 597.5 - 22893.5 lux.

Under such microclimate and soil conditions the overall CO₂ assimilation range from 1.1913 - 31.3875 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The highest rate was reached by *Lithocarpus* sp.1 (pasang parengpeng) which was 31.3875 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, followed by *Litsea noronbae* (huru lumlum) 21.5750 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, *Saurauia nudiflora* (kilebo) 11.8175 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, *Vernonia arborea* (hamirung) 6.7125 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and *Litsea* sp.1(huru bodas) which was 6.2725 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 2).

The rate of transpiration ranged between 0.4175 - 1.8975 $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The highest was reached by *Eugenia* sp.1 (kibeusi) which was 1.8975, followed by *Castanopsis acuminatissima* (kianak) 1.8950 $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, *Quercus lineata* (pasang batarua) 1.7875 $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, *Schima wallichii* (puspa) 1.6225 $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and *Litsea noronbae* (huru lumlum) which was 1.5300 $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 2).

Chlorophyll content of the leaf varied between 0.6015 - 3.8370 mg chlorophyll. The highest leaf chlorophyll content was on *Symplocos fasciculata* (jirak) which was 3.8370, followed by *Litsea* sp.2 (huru hejo) 3.2862, *Litsea brachystachia* (huru hiris) 3.1232, *Litsea* sp.3 (huru buah) 2.7065 and *Litsea* sp.1(huru bodas) 2.6268 (Table 2).

Variation in microclimate conditions during the measurements resulted in variation of the measurements. The rate of CO₂ assimilation was affected by incident radiation intensity and thus the quantum leaf (Q leaf) (Figure 2). Incident radiation and Q leaf also affected stomatal conductance and thus rate of transpiration. Correlation between stomatal conductance and transpiration rate was $R = 0.5741$ (Figure 3).

CO₂ assimilation of young leaves was not significantly different with that of older leaves, although stomatal resistance of young leaves was commonly higher than that of old leaves (Appendix 2). Under such environmental conditions CO₂ assimilation was affected more by external factors (i.e. solar radiation) than by the leaf stomatal character although some theory stated that stomatal conductance correlates with photosynthetic capacity (Wong *et al.*, 1979). Under certain range of Q leaf, there was a linear




Figure 2. Correlation between photon flux (QLeaf) and photosynthesis (Ps) In Cikaniki plot (names of species are presented in Table 2)

Table 2. Variation in physiological characteristics related to CO₂ absorption of tree Species in Cikaniki plot - Halimun-Salak National Park

| No. | Species (Local name) | Analytical CO ₂ (vpm) | Q leaf ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | CO ₂ Assimilation ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | Transpiration ($\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | Stomatal conductance ($\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | Chlorophyll content (mg) |
|-----|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 1. | <i>Castanopsis acuminatissima</i> (kianak) | 384.50 | 22.50 | 3.290 | 1.895 | 0.500 | 1.80 |
| 2. | <i>Eugenia</i> sp.1 (kibeusi) | 417.00 | 10.28 | 1.835 | 1.898 | 0.585 | 2.04 |
| 3. | <i>Litsea</i> sp.1 (huru bodas) | 396.25 | 54.75 | 6.273 | 0.790 | 0.133 | 2.63 |
| 4. | <i>Litsea</i> sp.2 (huru hejo) | 402.25 | 40.75 | 4.110 | 0.950 | 0.293 | 3.29 |
| 5. | <i>Altingia excelsa</i> (rasamala) | 384.50 | 17.50 | 5.138 | 0.650 | 0.365 | 2.10 |
| 6. | <i>Quercus lineata</i> (pasang batarua) | 431.50 | 32.50 | 4.623 | 1.788 | 0.430 | 1.92 |
| 7. | <i>Litsea brachystachia</i> (huru hiris) | 440.00 | 31.00 | 2.650 | 1.258 | 0.208 | 3.12 |
| 8. | <i>Castanopsis argentea</i> (saninten) | 387.50 | 61.75 | 9.333 | 1.208 | 0.273 | 2.61 |
| 9. | <i>Lithocarpus</i> sp.1 (pasang parengpeng) | 537.00 | 44.25 | 31.388 | 1.473 | 0.218 | 2.94 |
| 10. | <i>Syzygium polyanthum</i> (salam) | 703.50 | 70.25 | 6.335 | 1.013 | 0.250 | 1.86 |
| 11. | <i>Lithocarpus pseudomoluccanus</i> (kalimorot) | 410.00 | 29.25 | 4.153 | 1.058 | 0.180 | 1.93 |
| 12. | <i>Litsea</i> sp.3 (huru buah) | 435.00 | 7.50 | 2.928 | 0.980 | 0.393 | 2.71 |
| 13. | <i>Saurauia nudiflora</i> (kilebo) | 386.00 | 8.25 | 11.818 | 1.045 | 0.410 | 2.03 |
| 14. | <i>Litsea noronhai</i> (huru lumlum) | 503.25 | 107.25 | 21.158 | 1.530 | 0.630 | 2.53 |
| 15. | <i>Vernonia arborea</i> (hamirung) | 584.00 | 4.50 | 4.570 | 1.260 | 0.733 | 1.96 |
| 16. | <i>Prunus arborea</i> (hawoyang) | 513.75 | 11.75 | 1.703 | 0.858 | 0.265 | 1.81 |
| 17. | <i>Garcinia dioica</i> (ceri) | 381.00 | 249.75 | 5.778 | 1.133 | 0.328 | 1.09 |
| 18. | <i>Knema cinerea</i> (kinolka) | 387.50 | 5.75 | 3.455 | 1.263 | 0.725 | 1.70 |
| 19. | <i>Vernonea arborea</i> (hamirung) | 408.50 | 65.75 | 6.713 | 1.140 | 0.535 | 1.04 |
| 20. | <i>Macaranga tanarius</i> (marabodas) | 440.75 | 99.25 | 1.913 | 1.355 | 0.173 | 2.45 |
| 21. | <i>Artisia zollingeri</i> (kiajag) | 367.00 | 263.00 | 1.198 | 0.835 | 0.065 | 1.47 |
| 22. | <i>Eugenia opaca</i> (kopo) | 361.50 | 16.75 | 2.080 | 0.893 | 0.080 | 1.21 |
| 23. | <i>Symplocos fasciculata</i> (jirak) | 377.75 | 20.75 | 2.918 | 0.418 | 0.053 | 3.84 |
| 24. | <i>Eugenia</i> sp.2 (kisireum) | 396.25 | 14.75 | 5.628 | 1.258 | 0.223 | 1.25 |
| 25. | <i>Schima wallichii</i> (puspa) | 384.75 | 30.00 | 5.668 | 1.623 | 0.545 | 2.30 |
| 26. | <i>Platea excelsa</i> (kibonteng) | 392.25 | 52.50 | 1.868 | 0.858 | 0.103 | 1.32 |
| 27. | <i>Lithocarpus</i> sp.2 (pasang reueuy) | 371.25 | 219.25 | 1.503 | 1.210 | 0.188 | 0.60 |




Figure 3. Correlation between stomatal conductance and transpiration in Cikaniki Plot ($R = 0.5741$)

relationship between CO_2 assimilation Q leaf. This findings agree with the report by Long and hallgren (1993). There was positive correlation between CO_2 assimilation and leaf chlorophyll content although the value was not significant. However this relationship need to be studied more thoroughly under controlled environment.

2. Citalahab Site

In Citalahab plot, there were 337 individual trees (diameter > 10 cm) which consists of 71 species from 32 genus and 50 families. The most commonly family found were Lauraceae, Fagaceae, Myrtaceae, Rubiaceae, Meliaceae and Euphorbiaceae.

There were 20 trees species that have the highest “value of importance” including *Altingia excelsa*, *Blumeodendron elateriospermum*, *Ardisia zollingeri*, *Gordonia excelsa*, *Tricalysia singularis*, *Castanopsis acuminatissima*, *Knema cinerea*, *Laportea stimulans*, *Vernonia arborea* and *Dysoxylum excelsum*.

Distribution of diameter classification was dominated by class 10-20 cm, that reached 51.63% of the total individu. The most common tree species within this class include *Ardisia zollingeri*, *Laportea stimulans*, *Gordonia excelsa* and *Urophyllum glabrum*.

Microclimate conditions during the measurement were relatively low temperature and low light intensity (Appendix 3). This microclimate conditions resulted in low photon flux (Q leaf) and relatively low in CO_2 assimilation rate. The highest CO_2 assimilation rate in this area was $8.77 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (*Altingia excelsa*), followed by *Tricalysia singularis* which was $7.10 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ and *Litsea resinosa* which was $6.22 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ (Table 3 and Figure 4). Variation in transpiration rate and stomatal conductance were relatively small under this environmental conditions. Under certain conditions, there was positive correlation between Q leaf and CO_2 assimilation and between stomatal conductance and transpiration rate although the values were relatively low due to the uncontrolled environmental factors.

Dry matter and carbon content reached 152,247.91 ($152.3 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) obtained from basal area of $28.89 \text{ m}^2 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ and the number of individu 337 trees ha^{-1} . Five tree species with the highest biomass were recorded i.e.: *Altingia excelsa* ($938.25 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$), *Blumeodendron elateriospermum* ($2882.31 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$), *Castanopsis acuminatissima* ($1930.21 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$), *Engelhardtia serrata* ($1608.47 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$) and *Vernonia arborea* ($1372.75 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$). The ecological findings are discussed in different paper.

Table 3. Variation in physiological characteristics related to CO₂ absorption of tree species in Citalahab - Halimun-Salak

| No. | Species | Local Name | Analytical CO ₂ (vpm) | Q leaf ($\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | CO ₂ Assimilation ($\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | Stomatal conductance ($\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | Transpiration ($\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) |
|-----|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1. | <i>Magnolia elegans</i> | maja | 377.50 | 80.75 | 4.190 | 0.470 | 3.622 |
| 2. | <i>Blumeodendron elateriospermum</i> | burununggul | 411.25 | 26.00 | 3.308 | 0.575 | 3.315 |
| 3. | <i>Elaeocarpus ganitrus</i> | ganitri | 368.25 | 130.50 | 1.825 | 1.1875 | 3.105 |
| 4. | <i>Knema cinerea</i> | kimokla | 395.50 | 88.75 | 2.265 | 0.815 | 3.633 |
| 5. | <i>Vernonia arborea</i> | hamirung | 402.00 | 65.75 | 5.595 | 0.668 | 3.553 |
| 6. | <i>Gordonia excelsa</i> | mumuncangan | 382.25 | 94.25 | 3.605 | 1.168 | 3.443 |
| 7. | <i>Altingia excelsa</i> | rasamala | 394.00 | 303.00 | 8.770 | 0.293 | 3.815 |
| 8. | <i>Schinus molle</i> | puspa | 372.25 | 72.00 | 2.890 | 0.358 | 3.638 |
| 9. | <i>Quercus lineata</i> | pasang batarua | 380.50 | 17.00 | 2.498 | 0.278 | 3.338 |
| 10. | <i>Urophyllum corymbosum</i> | kokopian | 380.00 | 127.25 | 2.168 | 0.305 | 3.908 |
| 11. | <i>Acer laurinum</i> | huru bodas | 387.50 | 527.25 | 4.043 | 0.250 | 3.668 |
| 12. | <i>Castanopsis argentea</i> | saninten | 372.25 | 41.50 | 2.610 | 0.305 | 3.220 |
| 13. | <i>Ardisia zollingeri</i> | kiajag | 374.00 | 29.00 | 1.745 | 0.328 | 3.005 |
| 14. | <i>Quercus oideocarpa</i> | pasang parengpeng | 379.50 | 10.25 | 1.123 | 0.363 | 3.653 |
| 15. | <i>Macaranga triloba</i> | mara | 381.25 | 77.25 | 2.085 | 0.730 | 4.853 |
| 16. | <i>Castanopsis acuminatissima</i> | kianak | 382.25 | 491.75 | 2.680 | 0.205 | 3.228 |
| 17. | <i>Prunus arborea</i> | kawoyang | 389.50 | 957.25 | 4.235 | 1.613 | 4.148 |
| 18. | <i>Symplocos fasciculata</i> | jirak | 389.00 | 292.75 | 3.990 | 0.223 | 2.983 |
| 19. | <i>Litsea resinosa</i> | huru minyak | 399.75 | 66.75 | 6.218 | 3.518 | 3.370 |
| 20. | <i>Sandoricum koetjape</i> | kacapi | 396.75 | 70.25 | 4.148 | 3.808 | 4.253 |
| 21. | <i>Litsea brachystachia</i> | huru hiris | 385.75 | 86.75 | 3.798 | 0.685 | 3.390 |
| 22. | <i>Cinnamomum</i> sp. | huru buah | 423.50 | 312.25 | 2.105 | 0.450 | 3.488 |
| 23. | <i>Trichysia singularis</i> | dawolong | 438.50 | 24.00 | 7.100 | 0.350 | 3.525 |
| 24. | <i>Platsea latifolia</i> | kibonteng | 398.50 | 11.00 | 0.970 | 0.745 | 3.430 |
| 25. | <i>Neesia altissima</i> | bengang | 414.25 | 13.25 | 1.480 | 0.915 | 3.793 |




Figure 4. Correlation between photon flux (QLeaf) and photosynthesis (Ps) In Citalahab Plot (name of species is presented in Table 3)

B. Discussion

The significant differences between the response of physiological characteristics and environmental conditions were represented by tree species. The response of physiological characteristic showed typical acclimation to sunlit condition such as increasing CO₂ assimilation rate.

The results of this study agree with some findings that leaf photosynthesis in trees fairly variable with maximum values under natural conditions ranged from 3 - 30 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹. The values varies between 2 - 25 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ for deciduous broad leafed trees, 2 - 10 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ for coniferous trees, 3 - 6 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ for certain broad leafed species such as *Quersus* and *Fagus*, more than 25 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ for poplar, oil palms and eucalypt (Raghavendra, 1991). Photosynthesis of *Shorea* was reported of 7 - 21 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹, *Shorea balangeran* 21.9 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ in Central Kalimantan, *Acacia mangium* of 24.2 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹, 16 for *Hopea odorata*, 27.8 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ for *Ocbroma lagopus* (Chazdon *et al.*, 1996; Press *et al.*, 1996; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003). Photosynthesis of tropical woody plants for the first stage of succession ranged 10 - 20 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹, scarcely 25 μmolm⁻²s⁻¹ (Larcher, 1995).

The plasticity of stomatal conductance (gs) under relatively shade and lower temperature was low, between 0.030 molm⁻²s⁻¹ to 0.263 molm⁻²s⁻¹ in Cikaniki and between 0.043 molm⁻²s⁻¹ to 0.223

molm⁻²s⁻¹ in Citalahab. Findings were reported that gs of fast growing of *S. balangeran* and *A. mangium* were 0.49 molm⁻²s⁻¹ (Takahashi *et al.*, 2005; Takahashi *et al.*, 2006) and 1.3 molm⁻²s⁻¹ (Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003). The high gs play a role for high capacity of ventilation due to high transpiration rate in open site, being able to avoid extremely increase of leaf temperature.

This study suggested that for evaluation of the appropriate tree species, morphological and photosynthetic characteristic of leaves are suitable indicators. In general, sun leaf has higher light saturated CO₂ assimilation rate and lower apparent quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation rate compared with shade leaf (Boardman, 1977; Larcher, 1995; Press *et al.*, 1996). Shade leaf has high light-use efficiency for CO₂ assimilation under low light condition due to high accumulation light harvesting system in photosynthesis, however, under open condition, shade leaf does not have high light-use efficiency and the reduction of CO₂ assimilation rate often occurs due to light oxidation by excess light energy called photo-inhibition (Clearwater *et al.*, 1999). Furthermore, Press *et al.* (1996) demonstrated that the degree of photosynthetic plasticity in response to changes of light regimes was high in the most-light demanding species, therefore it is recommendable to select trees which have higher CO₂ assimilation rate of sunlit leaf and higher degree of plasticity.

Abiotic factors such as light, temperature, CO₂ concentration, vapour pressure deficit and nutrient status have a major effect on net photosynthesis, and thus on growth and productivity. All environmental conditions that tend to reduce photosynthetic rate (e.g. low light, low temperature, low nutrient availability) reduce the photosynthetic carbon gain (Ceulmens and Sauger, 1991).

Photosynthetic capacity varies not only with environment but also with age and position of the leaves in the canopy. Stomatal conductance (and net photosynthesis) in *Quercus* reached a maximum several weeks after maximum leaf size. Leaves of 10-year old oil palm remained photosynthetically active for 21 months. This has important implications for the whole-tree photosynthetic CO₂ uptake (Ceulmens and Sauger, 1991).

Some important remarks should be made about the correct interpretation of the values of photosynthetic rate. First, growth conditions as well as the experimental methods have important implication on CO₂ exchange rate. Plant raised under natural conditions and/or measured in situ tend to have higher CO₂ exchange rate than do plants grown under controlled environment such as greenhouse condition. Therefore specification on tree size, measurements conditions and methods used are mentioned in this paper.

In many cases net photosynthesis has been found to be poorly correlated with growth rate for some reasons, i.e difference in leaf area, pattern of carbon partitioning and variation in wood and root respiration rate. The harvestable product of a tree (the stem) depends not only on the photosynthetic carbon uptake by the foliage but also on respiration of the various organs and carbon investment into renewable organs (leaves, fine roots) and non harvestable organs (branches and large roots). Consequently, there is no obvious relationship between photosynthesis and biomass production. However, a fast growing tree needs a high photosynthesis, but the reverse is not necessarily true (Raghavendra, 1991).

IV. CONCLUSION

1. The results showed that there was a wide range of variation of CO₂ assimilation rate between

tree species. The overall CO₂ absorption rate ranged 1.1913 - 31.3875 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, the highest rate was reached by *Litsea neronhae* (huru lumlum) (31.3875 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), followed by *Litsea neronhae* (huru lumlum) (21.5750 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), *Saurauia nudiflora* (kilebo) (11.8175 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), *Vernonia arborea* (hamirung) (6.7125 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and *Litsea* sp.1 (huru bodas) (6.2725 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$).

2. Different microclimate conditions during the measurements resulted in variance CO₂ assimilation rate. The rate of CO₂ assimilation was affected by photon flux (Q leaf). Incident radiation and Q leaf also affected stomatal conductance and thus rate of transpiration. Correlation between stomatal conductance and transpiration under certain environmental condition was considerably high.
3. Some remark need to be measurement of CO₂ assimilation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank to Mount Halimun-Salak National Park for study site, cooperation and assistance during data collection. Research Competitive Programme The Indonesian Institute of Sciences for financial supports.

REFERENCES

- Ashton, M.S. 1998. Seedling Ecology of Mixed-Dipterocarp Forest. *In*: Appanah, S. and J.M. Thurnbull (Eds.), Review of Dipterocarps, Taxonomy, Ecology and Silviculture. CIFOR, Bogor, pp. 89-98.
- Boardman, N.K. 1997. Comparative photosynthesis of sun and shade plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 28: 57-107.
- Brown, S. 1997. Estimating biomass and biomass change of tropical forest, a primer. FAO Forestry Paper 134. FAO, Rome.
- Chazdon R.L., R.W. Pearey, D.W. Lee and N. Fetcher. 1996. Photosynthetic responses of tropical forest plants to contrasting light environments. *In*: Mulkey S.S., R.L. Chazdon and A.P. Smith (Eds.) Tropical

- Forest Plant Ecophysiology. Chapman and Hall, New York. pp. 5-55
- Convention of Biological Diversity (CBD). 2008. Biodiversity: A Missing Link for Mitigating Climate Change. World Environment Day Celebrated in Montreal (Press Release).
- Ceulmens R.J. and B. Sauger. 1991. Photosynthesis. *In*: Raghavendra. A.S. 1991. Physiology of Trees. Wiley & Sons Publ. New York 262p.
- Clearwater, M.J., R. Susilawati, R. Effendi and P.R.van Gardingen. 1999. Rapid photosynthetic acclimation of *Shorea johorensis* seedlings after logging disturbance in Central Kalimantan. *Oceanologia* 121:478-488.
- Cox, G.W. 1967. Laboratory Manual of General Ecology. M.C. Crown, Iowa.
- Greigh-Smith, P. 1964. Quantitative Plant Ecology. Second Edition. Butterworth, London.
- Lacher, W. 1995. Physiological Plant Ecology (3rd). Springer, Berlin.
- Long, S.P. and J.E. Hallgren. Measurement of CO₂ assimilation by plants in the field and the laboratory. *In*: Hall, D.O., M.O. Scurlock, H.R. Bolhar-Nordenkamp, R.C. Leegood and S.P. Long (Eds.). Photosynthesis and Production in a Changing Environment : A Field and Laboratory Manual. Chapman & Hall. 464 p.
- Matsumoto, Y., Y. Maruyama, A. Uemura, H. Shigenaga, S. Okuda, H. Harayama, H. Kawarasaki, L.H. Ang and S.K. Yap. 2003. Gas exchange and turgor maintenance of tropical tree species in Pasoh forest reserve. *In*: Okuda, T., Manokaran, N., Matsumoto, Y., Niiyama, K., Thomas, S.C., Ashton, P.S. (Eds.). Ecological of Lowland Rain Forest in Southeast Asia. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, pp. 241-250.
- Moreno, R.A. and G. Viera. 2005. Specific leaf area and photosynthetic parameters of tree species in the forest understory as a function of microsite light environment in Central Amazonia. *J. Tropical Forest Science* 17 : 265-278.
- Press, M.C., N.D. Brown, M.G. Baker and S.W. Zipperlen. 1996. Photosynthetic responses to light in tropical rain forest tree seedlings. *In*: Swaine, M.D., (Ed.). The Ecology of Tropical Forest Tree Seedlings. UNESCO, Paris, pp. 41-58.
- Takahashi, K., M. Osaki, M. Shibuya, Y. Tamai, H. Saito, L.H. Swido, S.J. Tuah, A.R. Susanto, C. Pidjath and P. Erosa. 2005. Growth phenology and photosynthetic traits of tree species native to peat-swamp forest. Annual Report: Environmental Conservation and Land Use Management of Wetland Ecosystem in Southeast Asia. p. 68-70.
- Takahashi, K., M. Shibuya, Y. Tamai, H. Saito, L.H. Swido, S.J. Tuah, A.R. Susanto and P. Erosa. 2006. Morphological and photosynthetic characteristics of *Shorea selanica* and *S. balangeran* sapling planted at open and understory conditions on peat soil in Central Kalimantan. Annual Report: Environmental Conservation and Land Use Management of Wetland Ecosystem in Southeast Asia. p.62-68.

Appendix 1. Microclimate and tree size measured in Cikaniki plot

| No. | Local Name | Diameter (cm) | Height (cm) | Soil pH | Soil Moisture (%) | Relative Humidity (%) | Air Temperature (°C) | Light Intensity (Lux) |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | kianak | 1.9 | 282.0 | 6.6 | 77.5 | 88.5 | 23.5 | 1307.5 |
| 2. | kibeusi | 1.3 | 236.5 | 6.4 | 78.0 | 86.5 | 24.8 | 1612.5 |
| 3. | huru bodas | 2.0 | 264.0 | 6.3 | 82.0 | 78.3 | 26.2 | 3530.0 |
| 4. | huru hejo | 2.6 | 283.0 | 6.4 | 79.5 | 80.3 | 25.8 | 2410.0 |
| 5. | rasamala | 1.8 | 241.5 | 6.4 | 79.8 | 82.8 | 25.0 | 1407.5 |
| 6. | Ppsang batarua | 2.4 | 363.5 | 6.5 | 83.3 | 81.5 | 25.3 | 830.0 |
| 7. | huru hiris | 2.9 | 347.0 | 6.1 | 67.0 | 70.2 | 27.5 | 2560.0 |
| 8. | saninten | 1.7 | 214.0 | 6.0 | 72.5 | 67.3 | 28.2 | 2427.5 |
| 9. | pasang parengpeng | 1.3 | 192.5 | 6.0 | 65.0 | 70.8 | 29.4 | 3492.5 |
| 10. | salam | 2.0 | 313.0 | 6.4 | 53.8 | 72.9 | 24.9 | 4780.0 |
| 11. | kalimorot | 3.8 | 288.5 | 6.1 | 78.3 | 71.5 | 26.8 | 1315.0 |
| 12. | huru buah | 2.0 | 270.0 | 6.2 | 72.5 | 73.8 | 26.8 | 1355.0 |
| 13. | kilebo | 1.4 | 114.0 | 6.0 | 72.5 | 77.5 | 25.4 | 597.5 |
| 14. | huru lumlum | 1.9 | 265.0 | 5.6 | 90.3 | 73.2 | 27.2 | 1960.0 |
| 15. | hamirung | 2.9 | 396.0 | 6.1 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 25.1 | 930.0 |
| 16. | hawoyang | 1.6 | 261.5 | 6.0 | 73.8 | 76.5 | 25.4 | 807.5 |
| 17. | ceri | 3.1 | 404.5 | 5.8 | 85.3 | 74.8 | 25.3 | 2227.5 |
| 18. | kinokla | 6.3 | 492.0 | 6.3 | 67.0 | 78.0 | 26.0 | 585.0 |
| 19. | kirung | 2.4 | 280.5 | 5.8 | 77.5 | 70.3 | 26.3 | 2870.0 |
| 20. | marabodas | 3.9 | 458.5 | 6.1 | 67.5 | 69.3 | 26.6 | 5162.5 |
| 21. | kiajag | 4.5 | 416.5 | 5.9 | 71.3 | 68.3 | 26.4 | 18845.0 |
| 22. | kopo | 4.8 | 424.0 | 6.2 | 55.5 | 69.8 | 26.3 | 952.3 |
| 23. | jirak | 3.8 | 354.5 | 6.1 | 62.8 | 71.4 | 26.0 | 2780.0 |
| 24. | kisireum | 1.9 | 313.0 | 6.2 | 68.8 | 70.5 | 26.6 | 2327.5 |
| 25. | puspa | 1.8 | 318.0 | 6.2 | 81.8 | 78.5 | 26.4 | 3587.5 |
| 26. | kibonteng | 1.9 | 212.5 | 5.83 | 84.3 | 62.5 | 27.6 | 3455.0 |
| 27. | pasang reuneuy | 3.4 | 443.0 | 5.8 | 73.0 | 69.3 | 26.9 | 22892.5 |

Appendix 2. Physiological characteristics of young and old leaves in Cikaniki plot

| No. | Lokak Name | Q Leaf ($\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | | CO ₂ Assimilation ($\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | | Transpiration ($\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | | Stomatal Conductance ($\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) | |
|-----|-------------------|---|-------|---|-------|---|------|--|------|
| | | Young | Old | Young | Old | Young | Old | Young | Old |
| 1. | kianak | 28.00 | 17.00 | 3.49 | 3.09 | 1.96 | 1.83 | 0.97 | 2.05 |
| 2. | kibeusi | 11.85 | 8.70 | 2.39 | 1.28 | 1.94 | 1.86 | 0.72 | 0.46 |
| 3. | huru bodas | 67.00 | 42.50 | 8.21 | 4.34 | 0.80 | 0.78 | 0.11 | 0.16 |
| 4. | huru hejo | 46.00 | 35.50 | 3.91 | 4.32 | 0.82 | 1.09 | 0.12 | 0.47 |
| 5. | rasamala | 25.50 | 9.50 | 6.13 | 4.15 | 0.49 | 0.81 | 0.07 | 0.66 |
| 6. | pasang batarua | 28.00 | 37.00 | 5.76 | 3.49 | 1.68 | 1.90 | 0.37 | 0.49 |
| 7. | huru hiris | 33.00 | 29.00 | 2.21 | 3.09 | 1.22 | 1.30 | 0.26 | 0.16 |
| 8. | saninten | 76.00 | 47.50 | 6.39 | 12.27 | 1.47 | 0.96 | 0.37 | 0.18 |
| 9. | pasang parengpeng | 161.00 | 88.00 | 29.41 | 33.37 | 1.64 | 1.31 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| 10. | salam | 56.00 | 84.50 | 8.21 | 4.470 | 1.12 | 0.91 | 2.22 | 1.83 |
| 11. | kalimorot | 21.50 | 37.00 | 2.10 | 6.21 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 0.21 | 0.16 |
| 12. | huru buah | 5.50 | 9.50 | 3.38 | 2.48 | 1.16 | 0.81 | 0.20 | 0.59 |
| 13. | kileho | 128.00 | 8.50 | 22.59 | 1.05 | 0.91 | 1.18 | 0.49 | 0.33 |
| 14. | huru lumlum | 126.50 | 88.00 | 14.66 | 27.66 | 1.61 | 1.46 | 0.52 | 0.74 |
| 15. | hamirung | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.79 | 4.35 | 1.16 | 1.37 | 1.08 | 0.39 |
| 16. | hawoyang | 11.00 | 12.50 | 1.06 | 2.35 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.29 | 0.25 |
| 17. | ceri | 228.00 | 71.00 | 5.06 | 6.46 | 1.04 | 1.23 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| 18. | kinokla | 7.00 | 4.50 | 1.29 | 5.62 | 1.47 | 1.06 | 1.14 | 0.32 |
| 19. | kirung | 77.00 | 54.50 | 10.75 | 2.68 | 1.25 | 1.04 | 0.48 | 0.59 |
| 20. | marabodas | 129.50 | 69.00 | 12.00 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.12 | 0.22 | 0.13 |
| 21. | kiajag | 257.50 | 68.00 | 21.00 | 0.92 | 0.85 | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 22. | kopo | 21.50 | 12.00 | 2.38 | 1.79 | 0.87 | 0.92 | 0.080 | 0.08 |
| 23. | jirak | 20.00 | 21.50 | 2.39 | 3.45 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.08 |
| 24. | kisireum | 13.50 | 16.00 | 5.19 | 6.07 | 1.07 | 1.45 | 0.19 | 0.25 |
| 25. | puspa | 36.00 | 24.00 | 10.15 | 1.19 | 1.28 | 1.97 | 0.27 | 0.83 |
| 26. | kibonteng | 57.50 | 47.50 | 2.25 | 1.49 | 0.89 | 0.83 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| 27. | pasang reuneuy | 183.50 | 55.00 | 1.47 | 1.54 | 1.07 | 1.36 | 0.17 | 0.21 |

Appendix 3. Microclimate and tree size measured in Citalahab plot

| No. | Local Name | Diameter (cm) | Height (cm) | Soil pH | Soil Moisture (%) | Relative Humidity (%) | Air Temperature (°C) | Light Intensity (Lux) |
|-----|-------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | maja | 1.4 | 185.0 | 4.0 | 80.0 | 55.0 | 27.5 | 6102.5 |
| 2. | burununggul | 4.4 | 415.5 | 5.5 | 35.0 | 61.5 | 26.0 | 1238.0 |
| 3. | ganitri | 1.8 | 146.5 | 3.7 | 90.0 | 60.5 | 24.0 | 12037.5 |
| 4. | kimolka | 4.3 | 623.5 | 5.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 26.5 | 3521.7 |
| 5. | hamirung | 2.4 | 369.0 | 6.5 | 30.0 | 57.5 | 27.5 | 5788.7 |
| 6. | mumuncangan | 2.4 | 416.0 | 5.5 | 52.5 | 59.0 | 22.5 | 5745.0 |
| 7. | rasamala | 1.1 | 147.0 | 5.5 | 40.0 | 53.0 | 26.0 | 15750.0 |
| 8. | puspa | 1.7 | 241.5 | 6.5 | 20.0 | 48.5 | 25.5 | 32522.5 |
| 9. | pasang batarua | 1.1 | 136.0 | 6.6 | 20.0 | 51.5 | 26.5 | 11369.3 |
| 10. | kokopian | 1.5 | 197.5 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 51.0 | 25.0 | 25400.0 |
| 11. | huru bodas | 3.9 | 382.5 | 6.1 | 41.0 | 57.0 | 26.0 | 35900.0 |
| 12. | saninten | 2.1 | 262.0 | 6.0 | 30.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 3800.0 |
| 13. | kiajag | 3.3 | 376.5 | 5.8 | 40.0 | 50.0 | 26.0 | 15245.0 |
| 14. | pasang parengpeng | 1.2 | 254.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 49.0 | 27.0 | 513.5 |
| 15. | mara | 1.1 | 148.5 | 5.0 | 60.0 | 39.0 | 30.0 | 4060.0 |
| 16. | kianak | 0.8 | 121.5 | 4.0 | 75.0 | 40.0 | 30.0 | 26660.0 |
| 17. | kawoyang | 2.2 | 157.0 | 5.8 | 50.0 | 70.0 | 25.0 | 42600.0 |
| 18. | jirak | 2.6 | 133.0 | 5.5 | 50.0 | 72.0 | 20.0 | 4165.0 |
| 19. | huru minyak | 2.8 | 204.0 | 4.0 | 80.0 | 68.0 | 23.0 | 4200.0 |
| 20. | kacapi | 1.4 | 132.0 | 5.5 | 50.0 | 65.0 | 22.0 | 4970.0 |
| 21. | huru hiris | 0.7 | 127.0 | 5.8 | 48.0 | 58.0 | 28.0 | 17950.0 |
| 22. | huru buah | 2.7 | 504.0 | 4.5 | 60.0 | 50.0 | 29.0 | 1545.5 |
| 23. | dawolong | 1.7 | 144.0 | 6.0 | 40.0 | 62.0 | 27.0 | 1580.0 |
| 24. | kibonteng | 2.9 | 364.0 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 58.0 | 28.0 | 950.5 |
| 25. | bengang | 3.1 | 231.5 | 6.8 | 10.0 | 60.0 | 28.0 | 1419.5 |